

FINAL REPORT

An Integrated Modeling Framework for Supporting Airshed Environmental Management in the Windsor-Detroit Pilot Area



Prepared by

Q.G. Lin, G.H. Huang, X.S. Qin, H.L. Li, Y.P. Cai,
L. He, X.H. Nie, Y. Zou, and X.D. Zhang
Energy and Environment program
Faculty of Engineering
University of Regina

Meteorological Services Canada
Environment Canada

March 2004

RESEARCH PERSONNEL

Project Leader:

G. H. Huang

Executive Summary

By G. H. Huang and Q. G. Lin

CHAPTER ONE – Introduction

By Q. G. Lin and G. H. Huang

CHAPTER TWO – Overview of the Windsor-Detroit Pilot Region

By Y. Zou, G. H. Huang and Q. G. Lin

CHAPTER THREE – Integrated Modeling Framework for Supporting Airshed Management

By Q. G. Lin and G. H. Huang

CHAPTER FOUR – Airshed Model

By H. L. Li, Y. P. Cai, Q. G. Lin, and G. H. Huang

CHAPTER FIVE – Air Quality Management and Planning

By L. He, G. H. Huang, and Q. G. Lin

CHAPTER SIX – UREM for the Planning of Energy and Environmental Systems

By Q. G. Lin and G. H. Huang

CHAPTER SEVEN – Post Modeling Analysis

By X. H. Nie, G. H. Huang and Q. G. Lin

CHAPTER EIGHT – Risk Assessment

By X. S. Qin, G. H. Huang and Q. G. Lin

CHAPTER NINE – Decision Support System for Airshed Management

By, X. D. Zhang, G. H. Huang, Q. G. Lin, X. S. Qin, H. L. Li, X. H. Nie,
L. He and Y. Zou

CHAPTER TEN – Conclusions

By G. H. Huang and Q. G. Lin

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Airshed pollution associated with rapid social and economic development has been a critical concern facing governments around the world for decades. The pollution could not only pose a variety of impacts on public health, but also lead to significant consequences towards sustainable regional development. It is thus desired that the relevant air quality management systems be effectively planned and managed through an integrated systems-analysis framework. However, such a framework can hardly be established without effective forecasting and decision-support tools that can reflect a variety of complexities that exist in the airshed systems. Firstly, a large number of emissions source categorized into point, areal and mobile ones will affect the ambient air quality. Secondly, new pollutants will be generated through physical and chemistry reactions among different pollutants. Thirdly, meteorological factors such as wind, temperature and humidity will affect not only the diffusion and dispersion of pollutants but also the rates of atmospheric chemistry reactions. Fourthly, trans-boundary movements of pollutants will require joint actions by multi-lateral cooperation.

The above discussion is especially applicable to the Windsor-Detroit Region where the cities of Windsor and Detroit are two economically important areas in Canada and US. The emissions from the US side will account for the air pollution in the Canadian side. Although a number of investigations have been undertaken as guided by an International Joint Commission (IJC), insight is still unavailable regarding emissions sources, pollutant migration, mitigation strategies, energy policy and climatic-change impacts, healthy risk assessment, and interactions among different levels of government. Many questions remain to be answered, such as:

- (1) How will pollutants from different sources affect the ambient air quality?
- (2) Which economic sector will account for the majority of pollutant emissions in this region?
- (3) Which sector will be chosen as the priority for emission mitigation?
- (4) What are the potential impacts of an environmental or energy policy?
- (5) How will the public's involvement affect the air quality management?
- (6) What kind of risk needs to be considered in dealing with air quality management?
- (7) How significant is the risk?
- (8) How will climate change affect the environmental planning and management?
- (9) How significant are the trans-boundary movements of pollutants?
- (10) What action should we undertake to deal with the trans-boundary migration, by each alone or by joint actions?

Answers to the above questions will help decision-makers to gain insight into the air pollution issues in the study region. However, these answers can only be obtained through integrating various air quality simulation and optimization models, economic

models, and risk assessment models within a general airshed management framework. This view is echoed by Environment Canada who emphasized the growing need for an integrated air quality and economic activity models that can support policy and airshed-management decisions at the local, regional and international scales. The integrated airshed management system is thus desired to demonstrate the benefits and shortfalls of various policies and decisions.

Therefore, the objective of this research effort is to develop an integrated modeling system for ambient simulation, air quality management, risk analysis and decision support in the Windsor-Detroit Pilot Region. In detail, it consists of the following tasks:

- (a) investigate emission inventory and ambient air quality in the region,
- (b) propose air quality simulation models,
- (c) build an inexact optimization model for supporting the management of emissions from various sources,
- (d) construct an energy model for the planning and policy analysis of emissions from the energy sector under uncertainties,
- (e) perform post-optimality analysis and multi-criteria decision analysis in dealing with various policy issues and public concerns,
- (f) establish an integrated risk assessment, and
- (g) bring in geographic information system (GIS) and expert system (ES) to form an integrated decision-support system that contains air quality simulation model, environmental management model, energy system planning model, post-optimality analysis, risk assessment, and other environmental and/or economic models.

The detailed research scopes are provided as follows:

- (a) The framework will be developed aiming at providing an effective tool for the decision-makers in municipal, provincial, federal, and international levels in dealing with energy planning, transportation design and management, industrial operation and air quality management in the Windsor-Detroit area.
- (b) The uncertainties associated with air quality simulation, air quality management, energy planning, and risk assessment will be addressed. The fuzzy set theory, stochastic modeling and interval analysis techniques will be applied to deal with these uncertainties.
- (c) Regional climate change model and other downscaling techniques will be incorporated within the simulation and optimization models for generating integrated support for short and long-duration air quality management and planning.
- (d) The interactive relationships between energy related activities and the relevant pollutant emissions will be investigated, where impacts of different air quality management policies on the energy sector will be highlighted.

- (e) The developed framework will be applied to jurisdictions of not only the Windsor and the Detroit but also the Windsor-Detroit combination; this makes it possible for addressing the issue of trans-boundary transport of air pollutants.
- (f) The flexibility of the developed framework will be enhanced by bringing in GIS and expert system; and
- (g) Graphic user interfaces (GUI) will be developed to facilitate the convenience of data input and output, policies analysis, and system operation and maintenance.

This report consists of ten chapters. Chapter 1 is an introduction of the project. Chapter 2 is an overview of the study region. Chapter 3 presents a general framework for airshed management. Six major research components are described in the Chapters 4 to 9. The methodology of numerical air quality simulation is given in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 illustrates the formulation of the developed optimization model for air quality management, as well as a methodology for emission trading between different jurisdictions. The air quality management and planning associated with energy activities are presented in Chapter 6 where an optimization model is provided. Methods for post-modeling analyses (PMA) are offered in Chapter 7. Chapter 8 is about methods for environmental assessment. Chapter 9 presents a decision supporting system that incorporates expert system and GIS within the modeling framework. Chapter 10 is devoted to a summary of this project, which is followed by appendices.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO – OVERVIEW OF THE WINDSOR-DETROIT PILOT REGION	10
2.1. General Information	11
2.2. Air Pollutants	16
2.3. Sources of Air Pollution.....	18
2.4. Air Quality Trends in the Windsor-Detroit Pilot Region.....	22
2.4.1. The Criteria Pollutants	22
2.4.2. Hazardous Air Pollutants	32
CHAPTER THREE – INTEGRATED MODELING FRAMEWORK FOR SUPPORTING AIRSHED MANAGEMENT	37
CHAPTER FOUR – AIRSHED MODEL	43
4.1. Statement of Problems	44
4.1.1. Background.....	44
4.1.2. Review of Air Quality Models.....	45
4.1.2.1. Gaussian Plume Model.....	45
4.1.2.2. Box Models.....	47
4.1.2.3. Airshed Models.....	49
4.1.2.4. Other Models	53
4.1.3. Summary of Literature Review.....	55
4.1.4. Objectives	56
4.2. Methodology	58
4.2.1. Background of Airshed Model.....	58
4.2.1.1. Atmospheric Chemistry	59
4.2.1.2. Photolysis Rates.....	60
4.2.1.3. Pollutant Transportation.....	61
4.2.1.4. Grid Nesting.....	69
4.2.1.5. Turbulent Diffusion.....	69
4.2.1.6. Surface Removal Processes	70
4.2.1.7. Deposition to Water Surfaces.....	71
4.2.1.8. Plume Rise	71
4.2.2. Input Data Required by the Airshed Model	73
4.2.3. Development of Hybrid Fuzzy-Stochastic Airshed Model (HFSAM)	78
4.2.3.1. Background.....	78
4.2.3.2. Methodology.....	80
4.3. Expected Results and Summary.....	87

CHAPTER FIVE – AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING.....	88
5.1. Statement of Problems	89
5.2.1. Fuzzy-Stochastic Robust Programming Model	91
5.2.2. Interval-Parameter Air Quality Programming Model	95
5.2.3. Regional Energy and Environmental Systems Planning Model (UREM).....	96
5.2.4. Regional Emission Trading Model (RGETM).....	97
5.3. Formulation of FSRP	99
5.3.1 Fuzzy-Robust Linear Programming (FRLP)	99
5.3.2 Chance-Constrained Programming (CCP).....	103
5.3.3 Hybrid FSRP Model.....	105
5.3.4 An Illustrative Example of the Fsrp Solution Process	106
5.4. Formulation of Interval-Parameter Air Quality Programming Model	117
5.5. Formulation of RGETM	133
 CHAPTER SIX – UREM FOR THE PLANNING OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS	 145
6.1. Statement of Problems	146
6.2. Methodology	148
6.2.1. General Structure of UREM	148
6.2.2. Development of UREM: an Inexact Energy Planning Model	151
6.2.3. Modeling Results	173
6.3. Energy System Modeling for the Windsor-Detroit Region	176
6.3.1. Overview of the Windsor-Detroit's Energy System	176
6.3.2. System Components of UREM.....	176
6.4. Summary	180
 CHAPTER SEVEN – POST MODELING ANALYSIS	 182
7.1. Background.....	183
7.2. Research Domain of PMA	184
7.3. Public Participation.....	186
7.3.1. Perception of Air Quality	186
7.3.2. Processing of the Survey Information.....	191
7.4. Multicriteria Decision Analysis	194
7.4.1 Systematic Fuzzy-to-Crisp Transformation	195
7.4.2 MCDA Models.....	195
7.4.3. Aggregation.....	207
7.5. Summary	212
 CHAPTER EIGHT – RISK ASSESSMENT	 213
8.1. Background	214
8.2. General Framework	216
8.3. Stochastic Risk Assessment	220

8.3.1. Monte Carlo Simulation.....	220
8.3.2. Probabilistic Risk Assessment	222
8.4. Fuzzy Environmental Risk Assessment	225
8.4.1. Quantification of Fuzzy Evaluation Criteria.....	225
8.4.2. Hybrid Fuzzy-stochastic Risk Assessment	226
8.5. Fuzzy Health Risk Assessment	230
8.6. Integrated Risk Assessment	232
8.7. Summary	236
CHAPTER NINE – DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR AIRSHED MANAGEMENT	237
9.1. Introduction.....	238
9.2. General Structure of DSAM	239
9.2.1. General Structure of DSAM	239
9.2.2. Graphical User Interface (GUI)	239
9.2.3. Inference Engine	242
9.2.4. Geographical Information System (GIS)	243
9.2.5. Knowledge Base	244
9.3. Development of DSAM	245
9.3.1. User Login	245
9.3.2. Main Interface.....	245
9.3.3. Emission Inventory	250
9.3.4. Air Quality Simulation.....	250
9.3.5. Air Quality Management.....	255
9.3.6. Energy System Modeling.....	255
9.3.7. Post-modeling Analysis	262
9.3.8. Risk Assessment.....	269
9.3.9. Viewing of Consequences.....	269
CHAPTER TEN – CONCLUSIONS	274
REFERENCES:.....	277
APPENDIX:	291

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Approximate Contributions of U.S. and Ontario Precursor NO _x and VOC Emissions to High Ozone Concentrations across Southern Ontario	8
Figure 1.2.	Approximate Contributions of U.S. and Ontario Precursor SO ₂ Emissions to Airborne Sulphate Concentrations across Southern Ontario.....	9
Figure 2.1.	The Windsor-Detroit Pilot Region	13
Figure 2.2.	Population Density of the Pilot Region.....	14
Figure 2.3.	Land Use of the Pilot Region	15
Figure 3.1.	Integrated Modeling Framework for Supporting Airshed Management.....	42
Figure 4.1.	Example of a Triangular Fuzzy Set.....	83
Figure 4.2.	Fuzzy Simulation Processes	86
Figure. 5.1.	Modeling Framework for Supporting Air Quality Management and Planning	92
Figure 5.2.	Uncertainties in a Regional Air Quality Management System	94
Figure 5.3.	L/R Fuzzy Membership Function.....	101
Figure 5.4.	Probability Density Functions for Boundaries of Fuzzy Interval $[b, \bar{b}]$	104
Figure 5.5.	Triangular Membership Distributions for the Fuzzy Subsets $\tilde{a}_{11}, \tilde{a}_{12}, \tilde{a}_{21}, \tilde{a}_{22},$ and \tilde{b}_1	111
Figure 5.6.	Graphical Representation of Distribution Characteristics of b_2	113
Figure 5.7.	Graphical Display for Solution of the Illustrative Example (under $p_i = 0.05$)	116
Figure 5.8.	A Flowchart of Genetic Algorithm (GA)	132
Figure 6.1.	General Modeling Structure	150
Figure 7.1.	Research Domain of PMA.....	185
Figure 7.2.	General Structure of the FMCDSS.....	197
Figure 7.3.	Main Functional Components in the Modules	198
Figure 7.4.	Euclidean Distances to Positive-ideal and Negative-ideal Solutions in Two-dimensional Space.	201
Figure 8.1.	Hybrid Fuzzy-Stochastic Risk Assessment.....	219

Figure 8.2.	CDF of SO ₂ for Different Receptors	223
Figure 8.3.	PDF of SO ₂ for Different Receptors.....	224
Figure 8.4.	Suitability Determination Based on Fuzzy Criteria.....	228
Figure 8.5.	Membership Functions of Environmental Risks Associated with the Probability of Violating the Air Quality Standard (Note: P = Probability of Contaminant Concentration Exceeding its Corresponding Air Quality Standard).....	229
Figure 8.6.	Membership Function of Health Risks Associated with Risk Index.....	233
Figure 8.7.	Membership Functions of the General Risk.....	235
Figure 9.1.	General Structure of DSAM.....	241
Figure 9.2.	Initial Interface of DSAM	247
Figure 9.3.	Login Window of DSAM.....	248
Figure 9.4.	Main Interface of DSAM for Windsor-Detroit Users	249
Figure 9.5.	Windsor-Detroit Inventory Interface of DSAM.....	252
Figure 9.6.	Selection of VOCs in Inventory Interface.....	253
Figure 9.7.	Interface of Air Quality Simulation.....	254
Figure 9.8.	Interface of Air Quality Management	258
Figure 9.9.	Interface of Energy System Modeling.....	259
Figure 9.10.	Interfaces for BAU and Scenarios Management, Scenario	260
Figure 9.11.	Interface of Scenario Establishment.....	261
Figure 9.12.	Initial Interface of Post-modeling Analysis.....	263
Figure 9.13.	Initialization of the Methods	264
Figure 9.14.	Initialization of the Criteria	265
Figure 9.15.	Initialization of the Alternatives.....	266
Figure 9.16.	Initialization of Impact Matrix	267
Figure 9.17.	Results Obtained from Different MCDA Methods	270
Figure 9.18.	Results from Different Aggregation Methods.....	271
Figure 9.19.	Input Settings of Risk Assessment	272
Figure 9.20.	Output Settings of Risk Assessment	273

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Trend for O ₃ (ppb)	25
Table 2.2.	Trend for PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	26
Table 2.3.	Trend for PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³).....	27
Table 2.4.	Trend for SO ₂ (ppb)	28
Table 2.5.	Trend for NO ₂ (ppb)	29
Table 2.6.	Trend for CO (ppm).....	30
Table 2.7.	Trend for TRS (ppb)	31
Table 2.8.	Emissions in the Greater Detroit Area and the Windsor	34
Table 2.9.	Emissions in the Sarnia and the Port Huron (lb/yr).....	35
Table 2.9.	Emissions in the Sarnia and the Port Huron (lb/yr).....	35
Table 4.1.	Air Quality Models in Urban Scale and Multi-scale	50
Table 4.2.	The Carbon Bond Mechanism	62
Table 4.3.	Chemical Species in the CBM-IV	67
Table 4.4.	Representative Values for the Photolysis Rates	68
Table 4.5.	Input Data Required by Airshed Model.....	75
Table 5.1.	Fuzzy Intervals (when $\alpha = 0$) and Single Value (when $\alpha = 1$).....	110
Table 5.2.	Boundary Values of Fuzzy Intervals under Different α -cut Levels	112
Table 5.3.	Expectation and Variance of Normal Distributions under Different α -cut Levels	114
Table 5.4.	Deterministic Values of $b_2^{(p_i)}$ under Different Combinations of α -cut and p_i	115
Table 7.1.	Questions in the Questionnaire Form	189
Table 7.2.	Questions in the Questionnaire Form	190
Table 7.3.	Determination of Ranking Index	209
Table 7.4.	Summary of Index Values in Average Ranking Procedure	210
Table 7.5.	N×N Matrix Used in the Borda and Copeland Methods.	211
Table 8.1.	Survey Results for Building the Fuzzy Rule Base.....	234