

Optimization Modelling of the Saskatchewan Energy Planning System in Response to GHG Control Strategies



Prepared by

Energy and Environment Program
Faculty of Engineering
University of Regina

Prepared for

Saskatchewan Energy and Mines Regina, Saskatchewan

December 2002

RESEARCH PERSONNEL

- Q. G. Lin
- G. H. Huang
- B. Bass
- Y. F. Huang

ABSTRACT

Under the Kyoto Protocol, Canada agreed to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emission levels to 94% of 1990 level by period 2008 - 2012. In the Province of Saskatchewan where the energy sector is the major part of the local economy, reducing GHG emissions could lead to substantial impacts on provincial energy industry. This research examines the detailed impacts on the Saskatchewan energy system, especially on crude oil, gas and electricity industries as well as refineries and heavy oil upgraders.

A MARKAL-based optimization model is developed for energy systems planing under various GHG-control strategies. Based on the developed model, one reference case and four policy cases are analyzed. The reference case is for an energy system without constraints on GHG emissions. The first policy case simulates the energy system with the reductions agreed to under the Kyoto Protocol. The second case corresponds to reductions in GHG emissions through five stages and can help evaluate the discounted and marginal cost of meeting the emission reduction targets. The marginal cost related to GHG emission reduction to meet the Kyoto target of electricity, natural gas production and processing and oil refinery are also reflected in this case. In these cases, nuclear power is not an option, but is included in the third case to assess its impacts on the discounted and marginal cost. The fourth case simulates the situation when the amount of imported electricity is limited.

A analysis of the modeling results showed that, if Saskatchewan reduces GHG emissions to 94% of year 1990 by the period of 2008–2012 (period 5), total discounted cost will increase from -\$591 million to \$897 million (\$1990). The marginal cost associated with GHG-emission reduction is \$30.0 (\$1990) per tonne CO₂ equivalent. Crude oil production, refineries and heavy oil upgraders will decrease while more renewable energy is used in generating electricity; the impacts on natural gas sector were minimal.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are expressed to Saskatchewan Energy and Mines (SEM) for financial support to upgrade the Saskatchewan MARKAL model. The upgraded model made this report possible. We are grateful to Dr. David Hanly from SEM who has contributed his time and expertise to help in data collection and model construction.

The opinions and conclusions represented in this report are solely the responsibility of the authors. However, the authors shall not be responsible or liable for any consequences resulting from interpretation or recommendation made by others based in whole or in part on data presented in this report.

The authors also appreciate the support from the Faculty of Graduate Studies & Research and the Faculty of Engineering at the University of Regina for providing various resources that are critical to this report.

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	Methodology – MARKAL Model	7
	2.1. General Features	7
	2.2. Structure of the MARKAL Model	7
	2.3. Modeling Formulation	0
3.	Saskatchewan MARKAL model	5
	3.1. Overview of the Saskatchewan MARKAL model	5
	3.1.1. Energy Supply	5
	3.1.2. Energy Demand	1
	3.1.3. Technologies	1
	3.1.4. Refinery and Upgrader	1
	3.1.5. GHG Emissions	2
	3.1.6. Time-Frame Consideration	3
4.	Application of Saskatchewan Model	4
5.	Results Analysis and Discussion	6
	5.1. Reference Case	6
	5.1.1 Total Discounted Cost	6

5.1.3. GHG Emissions 5.2. Case One: 100% Domestic Action to Meet the Kyoto Target	5.1.2. Energy Supply	30
5.2.1. GHG Emissions 5.2.2. Total Discounted Cost	5.1.3. GHG Emissions	43
5.2.2. Total Discounted Cost	5.2. Case One: 100% Domestic Action to Meet the Kyoto Target	48
5.2.3. Energy Supply	5.2.1. GHG Emissions	48
5.3. Case Two: Five Steps to Achieve the Kyoto Target	5.2.2. Total Discounted Cost	52
5.3.1. Case Design	5.2.3. Energy Supply	54
5.3.2. Result Analysis 5.3.2.1. Total Discounted Cost 5.3.2.2. Marginal Cost of GHG Emission Reduction 5.3.3. Marginal Cost of Energy Sector 5.3.3.1. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector 5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector 5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector 5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors 5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	5.3. Case Two: Five Steps to Achieve the Kyoto Target	65
5.3.2.1. Total Discounted Cost 5.3.2.2. Marginal Cost of GHG Emission Reduction 5.3.3. Marginal Cost of Energy Sector 5.3.3.1. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector 5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector 5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector 5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors 5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	5.3.1. Case Design	65
5.3.2.2. Marginal Cost of GHG Emission Reduction 5.3.3. Marginal Cost of Energy Sector 5.3.3.1. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector 5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector 5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector 5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors 5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	5.3.2. Result Analysis	67
5.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector 5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector 5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector 5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors 5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	5.3.2.1. Total Discounted Cost	67
5.3.3.1. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector	5.3.2.2. Marginal Cost of GHG Emission Reduction	67
5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector	5.3.3. Marginal Cost of Energy Sector	75
 5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector. 5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors. 5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option. 	5.3.3.1. Marginal Cost of the Electricity Sector	75
5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors	5.3.3.2. Marginal Cost of the Refinery Sector	80
5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	5.3.3.3. Marginal Cost of the Natural Gas Sector	84
	5.3.3.4. Comparison of Marginal Costs among Different Sectors	84
5.5. Case Four: Scenario with Limited Electricity Import	5.4. Case Three: Scenario with the Nuclear Power Option	86
	5.5. Case Four: Scenario with Limited Electricity Import	88
6. Conclusions	6. Conclusions	91
References:	References:	95

List of Tables

Table 5.1 Discounted cost of meeting energy demand in periods 1 to 9	29
Table 5.2 Primary energy production by resource in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	34
Table 5.3 Production and use of crude oil in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	36
Table 5.4 Information of natural gas in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	39
Table 5.5 Electricity production by source in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	40
Table 4.6 Installed electric generating capacity in periods 1 to 9 (1000 MW)	42
Table 5.7 GHG emission by source in periods 1 to 9 (million tonnes)	45
Table 5.8 GHG emission by source in periods 1 to 9 (million tonnes)	49
Table 5.9 Discounted cost by case in periods 1 to 9	53
Table 5.10 Primary energy supply in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	57
Table 5.11 Crude oil production and use in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	60
Table 5.12 Information of natural gas in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	62
Table 5.13 Electricity production by resource in periods 1 to 9 (1988-2032) (PJ)	63
Table 5.14 Production of electricity by capacity in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	64
Table 5.15 Description of five steps toward Kyoto target in period 5	66
Table 5.16 Total discounted cost by five steps toward Kyoto target in periods 1 to 9	70
Table 5.17 Marginal costs toward Kyoto target by five steps in period 5	72
Table 5.18 Marginal cost toward Kyoto target in periods 1 to 9 (\$/tonne)	74
Table 5.19 Five steps for electricity sector toward Kyoto target in period 5	77
Table 5.20 Marginal cost of electricity sector toward Kyoto target in period 5	78

Table 5.21 Marginal cost of electricity sector toward Kyoto target in periods 1 to 9 (\$/tonne) 7	79
Table 5.22 Five steps for refinery sector toward Kyoto target in period 5	31
Table 5.23 Marginal cost of refinery sector toward Kyoto target in period 5	32
Table 5.24 Marginal cost of refinery sector toward Kyoto target in periods 1 to 9 (\$/tonne) 8	33
Table 5.25 Marginal cost by sector toward Kyoto target in period 5 (\$/tonne)	35
Table 5.26 Electricity production including nuclear in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)	37
Table 5.27 Electricity production with limited electricity import in periods 1 to 9 (PJ)) (

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. General Structure of the MARKAL model	8
Figure 3.1 Schematic diagram of energy supply sector	20
Figure 5.1 Primary energy productions by resource	35
Figure 5.2 Production and use of crude oil	37
Figure 5.3 Performance of different crude oil types	38
Figure 5.4 Electricity production by type	41
Figure 5.5 GHG emission by source	46
Figure 5.6 GHG emission by source in 45-year horizon	47
Figure 5.7 GHG emissions by source in period 5	50
Figure 5.8 GHG emissions by source in periods 1 to 9	51
Figure 5.9 Primary energy supply in period 5	58
Figure 5.10 Primary energy supply in periods 1 to 9	59
Figure 5.11 Production and use of crude oil	61
Figure 5.12 Variations of total discounted cost by 5 steps	71
Figure 5.13 Marginal costs by five steps in period 5	73