



University of Regina

**Selection of Remedial Technologies
for A Naphtha Contaminated Site
-- A Study for the Hoosier Gas Plant Site
(Interim Report)**

**Faculty of Engineering
Research Report**



**Selection of Remedial Technologies
for A Naphtha Contaminated Site**
-- A Study for the Hoosier Gas Plant Site
(Interim Report)

Prepared by

Y. W. Wu, G. H. Huang, and A. Chakma
Energy and Environment Program
Faculty of Engineering
University of Regina
Regina, SK S4S 0A2

Prepared for

Mr. Russell Roy, P.Eng.
Environmental Affairs
TransGas - SaskEnergy
15th Floor, 1945 Hamilton St.
Regina, SK S4P 2C7

December 1998

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. SITE CONDITIONS	2
2.1 Stratigraphy	2
2.2 Groundwater	2
2.3 Contamination Sources	4
2.4 Site Contamination	4
2.4.1 Free Phase Hydrocarbons	4
2.4.2 Residual Phase and Gaseous Phase Hydrocarbons	4
2.4.3 Dissolved Phase	8
2.4.4 Area of Contaminated Soil	8
2.4.5 Contaminants	8
3. SELECTION OF REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES	10
3.1 Factors Affecting Selection of Remediation Technologies	10
3.2 Technology Screening	12
3.3 REMTEC Database	14
4. POTENTIAL REMEDIATION AND ENHANCEMENT ECHNOLOGIES	18
4.1 Potential Remediation Technologies	18
4.1.1 Ex-Situ Bioremediation	18
4.1.2 Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE)	19
4.1.3 Dual-Phase Extraction (DPE)	19
4.1.4 Air Stripping (Air Sparging)	20
4.1.5 In-Situ Bioremediation	20
4.2 Enhancement Technologies	21
4.2.1 Pneumatic Fracturing	21
4.2.2 Thermal Enhancement	21
(1) Steam Injection Technologies	23
(2) Hot Air Technologies	23

(3) Radio-Frequency Heating (RFH)	23
(4) Electrical Resistance Heating (ERH)	24
(5) Thermal Conduction Heating	24
4.2.3. Comparison	24
5. PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS	26
<hr/>	
5.1 In-Situ Integrated Bioremediation (ISIB)	26
5.1.1. Description of ISIB	26
5.1.2. Feasibility of ISIB for the Hoosier Site	26
5.1.3. Potential Problems of ISIB	28
5.2 In-Situ Dual-Phase Extraction (DPE)	28
5.2.1. Description of In-Situ DPE	28
5.2.2. Feasibility of In-Situ DPE at the Hoosier Site	30
5.2.3. Potential Problems of In-Situ DPE	30
5.3 Hybrid In-Situ Technologies and Ex-Situ Landfarming (ESL)	31
5.3.1. Hybrid ISIB and ESL	31
5.3.2. Hybrid DPE and ESL	32
6. COST ANALYSIS	33
6.1 Cost of In-Situ Integrated Bioremediation	33
6.1.1. SVE Enhanced with Pneumatic Fracturing (Case 1)	33
6.1.2. Air Sparging with In-Situ Bioremediation (Case 2)	33
6.1.3. Cost for the Hoosier Site	33
6.2 Cost of Dual-Phase Extraction (DPE)	37
6.3 Cost of Hybrid In-Situ Technologies and Ex-Situ Landfarming (ESL)	37
6.3.1. Cost of the ESL-ISIB Process	39
6.3.2. Cost of ESL-DPE Process	39
7. SUMMARY	41
REFERENCES	42