



DEVELOPMENT OF BIOSURFACTANT-ENHANCED TECHNOLOGIES FOR REMEDIATION OF PETROLEUM-CONTAMINATED SITES IN WESTERN CANADA

(PTRC Project No. 01-10-040)



Prepared by

Gordon Huang, Baiyu Zhang, and Qiang Guo
Faculty of Engineering
University of Regina

Prepared for

Petroleum Technology
Research Center

2003

ABSTRACT

Subsurface contamination, as a negative effect of petroleum exploration, production and/or storage processes, can lead to various impacts and risks to the communities and to the industries themselves. To remove the contaminants and restore the subsurface, a great deal of remediation techniques have been developed and widely employed. Among them, the cost-effective and environmentally sound *in-situ* bioremediation is regarded as a promising one and has been used for cleaning up petroleum-contaminated sites. However, the efficiency of bioremediation decreases significantly when applied to Western Canadian cases because of the special national conditions (e.g. cold weather and low-permeability soil conditions).

In this study, a set of innovative biosurfactant-enhanced *in-situ* bioremediation techniques were developed to improve both microbial activities and media (soil) conditions within a western Canadian context. Through bench/pilot-scale experiments, they were demonstrated to be viable for where cold climate and complicated subsurface conditions exist. Biosurfactant production technologies were developed, which started with the isolation of petroleum consumable microroganims, and followed by the bacterial screening to locate indigenous microbial strains that readily adapt to cold-climate conditions and efficiently degrade petroleum products. A strain-regeneration / re-enhancement technology was developed to enhance capability and stability of the strains. Four bacteria strains with the high capability of producing biosurfactants were isolated. Two specific biosurfactants were then generated by culturing the isolated pure strains. The operation conditions were optimized through experiments to regulate

biosurfactant production. The production and naturally degradation of biosurfactants during the bioremediation of petroleum- contaminated soil were disclosed. The experimental results indicated that obvious linkages existed among microbial activities, biosurfactant production and contaminant degradation. The results underscored a better understanding of the interior mechanisms of bioremediation and the microbial ecology of biosurfactants production.

Bench- and pilot- scale reactors were designed and custom-manufactured for facilitating experimental studies of bioremediation enhancement. Furthermore, the performance of the newly-developed biosurfactants and related technologies were examined under various conditions at the Cantuar site in Saskatchewan, western Canada. The results indicated that the significant enhancement effectiveness of the newly-developed biosurfactants to the remediation process, and also demonstrated that the developed technologies are capable to be applied to real-world petroleum contamination problems for industrial partners. The proposed media-enhancement technologies would be especially useful for aged or complicated sites where contaminants are hardly removed from the soil. In general, this study would (i) help the industries to obtain improved technologies for site remediation, and (ii) help to reduce costs at the consulting, planning, design and operation stages associated with the site remediation practices. With the growing concerns of environmental problems caused by petroleum industries and the increasing demand for more effective remediation techniques in Canada, the research outputs would be able to bring significant environmental, economic and social benefits to Canadian petroleum industries.

CONTENTS

Abstract	I
Contents	III
List of Figures	VI
List of Tables	VII
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives.....	5
Chapter 2 Literature reviews	6
2.1 Bioremediation	6
2.1.1 Definition	6
2.1.2 Media Enhancement of In situ Bioremediation.....	8
2.1.3 Biological Enhancement of In situ Bioremediation.....	9
2.1.4 Summary	11
2.2 Surfactants	13
2.2.1 Definition	13
2.2.2 Properties.....	15
2.2.3 Application of Surfactants to Biodegradation	19
2.2.4 Summary	21
2.3 Biosurfactants.....	23
2.3.1 Definition	23
2.3.2 Classification.....	24
2.3.3 Factors Influencing Biosurfactant Production.....	25
2.3.4 Physical Chemistry Indices of Biosurfactants.....	28
2.3.5 Natural Roles of Biosurfactants.....	35
2.3.6 Potential of Biosurfactants Application to Bioremediation.....	35
2.3.7 Summary	40
Chapter 3 Overview of the Study Site	41
3.1 Background	41
3.2 Site Description	42
3.2.1 Site Conditions	42
3.2.2 Stratigraphy	43
3.2.3 Hydrogeology.....	44
3.3 Site Contamination and Analysis of Monitoring Data.....	44
3.3.1. Free Liquid Phase Contaminants.....	45
3.3.2 Residual Phase in Soil	51
3.3.3 Gaseous Phase	52
3.3.4 Dissolved Phase in Groundwater.....	53
3.4 Summary	56

Chapter 4 Verification for Biosurfactant Production during Bioremediation	57
4.1 Experimental Materials and Appartus	58
4.1.1 Soils.....	58
4.1.2 Contaminants.....	58
4.1.3 Nutrients.....	59
4.1.4 Experimental Apparatus	63
4.2 Experimental Description.....	66
4.3 Analytical Methods.....	68
4.3.1 Monitoring of Biosurfactant Production.....	68
4.3.2 Measurement of Oxygen Consumption.....	69
4.3.3 Quantification of Microorganism	70
4.3.4 Detemiantion of Contaminants Concentrations.....	70
4.4 Results Analysis	71
4.4.1 Diesel Fuel Removal v.s. Microbial Growth	71
4.4.2. Production of Biosurfactants v.s. Microbial Activities.....	72
4.4.3. Enhancement of Biosurfactants to Bioremediation	75
4.4.4. Biodegradation of Biosurfactants	76
4.4.5. Microbial Growth v.s. Microbial Activity	77
4.4.6. Kinetics of Biosurfactants Production.....	79
4.5 Summary	79
Chapter 5 Isolation and Production of Biosurfactants for Bioremediation Enhancement.....	81
5.1 Experimental Materials	82
5.1.1 Soils.....	82
5.1.2 Contamiants.....	82
5.1.3 Microbial culture media and solutions	82
5.2 Experimental Methodology.....	83
5.2.1 Screening of LNAPL-degrading bacterial strains.....	83
5.2.2 Regeneration / re-enhancement of the isolated strains	84
5.2.3 Storage of the isolated bacteria.....	85
5.2.4 Pure culturing of the isolated strain.....	85
5.2.5 Biosurfactants Isolation from the bacterial culture.....	86
5.2.6 Characterization of the isolated biosurfactants.....	88
5.2.7 Production of the isolated biosurfactants.....	89
5.3 Sample Analysis.....	92
5.3.1 Bacterium counting	92
5.3.2 Bacteria Array.....	93
5.3.3 Surface Tension Determination	93
5.3.4 Emulsification Capability Assay	94
5.4 Experimental Results.....	95
5.4.1 Modality of isolated strains	95
5.4.2 Bacterium concentrations	96
5.4.3 Regeneration and re-enhancement of the isolted strains	96

5.4.4 Isolation and production of biosurfactants	109
5.5 Summary	110
Chapter 6 Biosurfactants-enhanced Bioremediation	112
6.1 Bench-Scale Study	112
6.1.1 Experimental Apparatus	112
6.1.2 Experimental Materials	113
6.1.3 Experimental Description.....	115
6.1.4 Factorial Design	116
6.1.5 Results Analysis	126
6.2 Pilot-Scale Study	127
6.2.1 Site Investigation	128
6.2.2 Design and Setup of the Pilot-Scaled Models	129
6.2.3 Soil Selection, Analysis and Loading	141
6.2.4. Development of the Pilot-Scale System	148
6.2.5. Monitoring, Injection and Extraction Wells	155
6.2.6. Experimental Schemes	155
6.2.6. Sampling Method and Benzene Concentration Analysis.....	158
6.2.7 Experimental Results from the Pilot-Scale Model	159
6.3 Summary	171
Chapter 7 Conclusions	173
7.1 Summay.....	173
7.2 Research Achievements.....	175
References	177

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Schematic Diagram of a Surfactant Molecule	14
Figure 2.2 Plot Illustrating the Relation between the Surface Tension and Surfactant	17
Figure 2.3 Monomeric and Micellar Forms of Surfactant Molecules	18
Figure 2.4 Generalized Micelle Structure.....	32
Figure 2.5 Micelle Structure with the Emulsified Hydrocarbon.	34
Figure 3.1 Facility distribution of the study site.....	48
Figure 4.1 The Reactor for Bioremediation of Contaminants from Cantuar Site.....	64
Figure 4.2 The Reactor for the Bioremediation of Diesel Fuel Contaminants	65
Figure 4.3 Diesel Fuel Concentration and INT-dehydrogenase Activity versus Time	73
Figure 4.4 Surface Tension and Oxygen Uptake Rate versus Time	74
Figure 4.5 Concentration of Biosurfactant and Aqueous Diesel Fuel versus Time	78
Figure 5.1 (a) Strain from the subsurface of the study site (Strain No. 1).....	99
(b) Strain from the study site’s surrounding area (Strain No. 2).....	100
(c) Strain mixture from land-filling samples (Strains No. 1 and 2).....	101
(d) Strain from land-filling samples (Strain No. 3)	102
(e) Strain from land-filling samples (Strain No. 4).....	103
Figure 5.2 (a) Regeneration results of the isolated strain with 5% gasoline	106
(b) Regeneration results of the isolated strain with 10% gasoline.....	107
(c) Regeneration results of the isolated strain with 15% gasoline.....	108
Figure 6.1 (a) Setup for Bench-scaled Experiments.....	114
(b) Location of the Study Site.....	130
Figure 6.2 (a) Modeling Domain of the Study Site	133
(b) Overview of the Modeling Domain	134
Figure 6.3 Borehole Data	135
Figure 6.4 (a) Bottom of Clay Layer.....	136
(b) Bottom of Till Layer	137
(c) Bottom of Sand Layer	138
Figure 6.5 Conceptual Model of the Site Subsurface.....	139
Figure 6.6 (a) Plan view of the pilot-scale reactor	143
(b) Front view of the pilot-scale reactor	144
(c) Bottom view of the pilot-scale reactor	145
(d) End elevation of the pilot-scale reactor	146
Figure 6.7 Well locations.....	156
Figure 6.8 Well locations and soil types	157

Figure 6.9 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) on day 13 after the leakage	165
Figure 6.10 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) on day 28 after the leakage	166
Figure 6.11 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) on day 40 after the leakage	167
Figure 6.12 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) on day 12 after the remediation started.....	168
Figure 6.13 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) on day 14 after the remediation started.....	169
Figure 6.14 Benzene concentrations (mg/L) in extraction wells 7 and 11 during the enhanced in-situ biodegradation process.....	170

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Microbial Genera Degrading Hydrocarbons in Soil	12
Table 2.2 Types of Microbial Biosurfactants.....	26
Table 3.1 Summary of interim risk management criteria for soil based on future land use (ppm).....	46
Table 3.2 Summary of interim risk management criteria for groundwater based on groundwater use (ppb).....	47
Table 3.3 Summary of the site conditions	49
Table 5.1 Bacterium concentrations in soils	104
Table 5.2 Bacterium concentrations in adaptation cultures	105
Table 6.1 Full factorial design of three variables at two levels	121
Table 6.2 List of design variables at two levels.....	122
Table 6.3 Experimental conditions for the factorial design.....	123
Table 6.4 Benzene removal rate of each experimental run.....	124
Table 6.5 Interactions of variables and their effects on benzene removal	125
Table 6.6 (a) Sedimentation Analysis of Clay/Silty Clay from Studied Site	149
(b) Wet-sieving Analysis of Clayey Till from Studied Site	150
(c) Wet-sieving Analysis of Fine Sand from Studied Site	151
Table 6.7 (a) Sedimentation Analysis of Clay/Silty Clay for Vessel Use	152
(b) Wet-sieving of Clayey Till for Vessel Use	153
(c) Wet-sieving of Fine Sand for Vessel Use	154
Table 6.8 Observed benzene concentrations (mg/L)	162