

**ESTIMATION OF ATRAZINE ENTERING LAKE ERIE
THROUGH RUNOFF FROM AGRICULTURAL LANDS IN THE
LAKE ERIE BASIN**

FINAL REPORT

Submitted to

Dr. Yi-Fan Li

AQRI, Meteorological Service of Canada
Environment Canada, Ontario

By

Bing Chen and Gordon Huang

Environmental Systems Engineering

Faculty of Engineering  *University of Regina*

Regina, Saskatchewan, S4S 0A2, Canada

March, 2003

CONTENT

| | |
|--|-----------|
| ABSTRACT | 1 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW | 9 |
| 2.1 Pesticides Transportation | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Emission | 9 |
| 2.1.2 Washoff | 10 |
| 2.1.3 Adsorption/Desorption | 13 |
| 2.1.4 Surface Runoff | 16 |
| 2.1.5 Degradation | 18 |
| 2.2 Pesticide Runoff Models | 20 |
| 2.2.1 Lumped Parameter Models | 21 |
| 2.2.2 Distributed Parameter Models..... | 22 |
| 2.3 Summary | 23 |
| 3. LAKE ERIE – LAKE ST. CLAIR BASIN..... | 25 |
| 3.1 General Conditions..... | 25 |
| 3.2 Climate and Hydrologic Conditions | 26 |
| 3.3 Physiography | 27 |
| 3.4 Geology and Soils..... | 27 |
| 3.5 Economy and Population..... | 28 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 3.6 Land and Water Use | 29 |
| 3.7 Water Quality | 30 |
| 4. ATRAZINE USAGE AROUND THE LAKE ERIE | 32 |
| 4.1 Atrazine Usage in Ontario | 32 |
| 4.1.1 Atrazine Usage Condition | 32 |
| 4.1.2 Atrazine Pollution | 33 |
| 4.2 Atrazine Usage in The USA Part of The Lake Erie Basin | 35 |
| 4.2.1 Pesticide Usage Condition | 35 |
| 4.2.2 Atrazine Pollution | 38 |
| 5. GRID SYSTEM AND DATABASE DEVELOPMENT | 41 |
| 5.1 Grid System | 41 |
| 5.2 Database Development | 43 |
| 5.2.1 Watershed Dataset | 43 |
| 5.2.2 Soil Dataset | 48 |
| 5.2.3 Landuse Dataset | 50 |
| 5.2.4 Pesticide Dataset | 54 |
| 5.2.5 Topography Dataset | 54 |
| 5.2.6 Meteorology and Hydrology Dataset | 54 |
| 5.2.7 Water Quality Sample Data | 65 |
| 5.3 Summary | 66 |
| 6. MATHEMATICAL MODEL | 67 |
| 6.1 Modeling Formulation | 67 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6.1.1 Hydrology Module | 68 |
| 6.1.2 Pesticide washoff module | 70 |
| 6.1.3 Pesticide adsorption/desorption module | 72 |
| 6.1.4 Pesticide concentration available for runoff | 74 |
| 6.1.5 Pesticide sediment module | 75 |
| 6.1.6 Pesticide routing module | 76 |
| 6.2 Integration with GIS | 77 |
| 6.2.1 System construction | 78 |
| 6.2.2 Hydrological parameters | 81 |
| 6.2.2.1. <i>Slope/Aspect</i> | 81 |
| 6.2.2.2. <i>Flow direction</i> | 82 |
| 6.2.2.3. <i>Contributing Area</i> | 86 |
| 6.2.2.4. <i>Network definition</i> | 88 |
| 6.3 Software Package | 89 |
| 6.4 Summary | 91 |
| 7. MODEL CALIBRATION AND VERIFICATION..... | 92 |
| 7.1 Data Acquisition | 94 |
| 7.1.1 Climate, hydrology, and water quality | 94 |
| 7.1.2 Spatial data | 95 |
| 7.1.3 Pesticide, soil and other data | 97 |
| 7.2 Sensitive Analysis | 98 |
| 7.3 Model Verification..... | 101 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 7.3.1 The Fort Jennings Outlet..... | 101 |
| 7.3.1.1. <i>Water Discharge</i> | 101 |
| 7.3.1.2 <i>Atrazine concentrations</i> | 102 |
| 7.3.2 The Defiance Outlet..... | 103 |
| 7.3.2.1 <i>Water Discharge</i> | 103 |
| 7.3.2.2 <i>Atrazine concentrations</i> | 104 |
| 7.4 Summary | 105 |
| 8. ATRAZINE LOSS IN THE LAKE ERIE | 115 |
| 8.1 Delineation of Hydrologic Unit | 115 |
| 8.2 Simulation Results of Atrazine Loss | 119 |
| 8.2.1 Canadian Side of the Lake Erie Basin..... | 119 |
| 8.2.1.1 <i>HU Index: 1-1-1 and 1-1-2</i> | 120 |
| 8.2.1.3 <i>HU Index: 1-2-1 and 1-2-2</i> | 117 |
| 8.2.1.5 <i>HU Index: 1-3-1</i> | 130 |
| 8.2.1.6 <i>HU Index: 1-3-2</i> | 134 |
| 8.2.1.7 <i>HU Index: 1-3-3</i> | 138 |
| 8.2.1.8 <i>HU Index: 1-3-4</i> | 142 |
| 8.2.1.9 <i>HU Index: 1-3-5</i> | 146 |
| 8.2.2 USA Side of the Lake Erie Basin..... | 150 |
| 8.2.2.1 <i>HU Index: 2-1-1</i> | 150 |
| 8.2.2.2 <i>HU Index: 2-1-2</i> | 155 |
| 8.2.2.3 <i>HU Index: 2-1-3</i> | 159 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8.2.2.4 HU Index: 2-1-4 and 2-1-5 | 162 |
| 8.2.2.6 HU Index: 2-2-1-1 | 166 |
| 8.2.2.7 HU Index: 2-2-1-2 | 170 |
| 8.2.2.7 HU Index: 2-2-1-3 | 174 |
| 8.2.2.9 HU Index: 2-2-2-1 to 2-2-2-7 | 178 |
| 8.2.2.10 HU Index: 2-2-3-1 | 183 |
| 8.2.2.11 HU Index: 2-2-3-2 | 187 |
| 8.2.2.12 HU Index: 2-2-3-3 | 191 |
| 8.2.2.13 HU Index: 2-3-1 | 195 |
| 8.2.2.14 HU Index: 2-3-2 | 199 |
| 8.2.2.15 HU Index: 2-3-3 | 203 |
| 8.2.2.16 HU Index: 2-3-4 | 207 |
| 8.2.2.17 HU Index: 2-3-5 | 211 |
| 8.2.2.18 HU Index: 2-4-1 | 215 |
| 8.2.2.19 HU Index: 2-4-2 | 219 |
| 8.2.2.20 HU Index: 2-4-3 | 223 |
| 8.2.2.21 HU Index: 2-4-4 | 227 |
| 8.3 Atrazine Loss around Lake St. Clair | 229 |
| 8.3.1 Atrazine Loss in Canadian Side | 229 |
| 8.3.2 Atrazine Loss in USA Side | 233 |
| 8.3.3 Summary | 237 |
| 8.4 Atrazine Loss around Lake Erie | 239 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8.4.1 Atrazine Loss in Canadian Side | 239 |
| 8.4.2 Atrazine Loss in USA Side | 244 |
| 8.4.3 Summary | 247 |
| 8.5 Atrazine Loss in the Lake Erie Basin | 249 |
| 9. CONCLUSIONS..... | 255 |
| 9.1 Literature Review, reported in Section 2..... | 255 |
| 9.2 Pesticide Useage and Pollution, reported in Section 3 and 4..... | 256 |
| 9.3 Grid System and Database Development, reported in Section 5 | 257 |
| 9.4 Modeling Development, reported in Section 6..... | 257 |
| 9.5 Model Calibration and Verification, reported in Section 7..... | 258 |
| 9.6 Estimation of Atrazine Loss in the Lake Erie Basin, reported in Section 8 | 259 |
| 9.7 Concluding Remarks..... | 259 |
| REFERENCES | 262 |
| APPENDIX I: DATASETS OF THE LAKE ERIE BASIN | 279 |
| APPENDIX II: C CODE OF MODIFIED PeRM | 280 |
| APPENDIX III: VB CODE OF INTERFACE OF PeRM..... | 307 |
| APPENDIX IV: C CODE OF DATA PREPARATION PROGRAMS | 317 |
| APPENDIX V: SIMULATION RESULTS OF PESTICIDE (ATRAZINE) LOSS | 283 |

FIGURES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 2-1 Diagram illustrating processes of pesticide movement..... | 11 |
| Figure 2-2 The process of adsorption/desorption (Bailey et al., 1974)..... | 15 |
| Figure 4-1. Atrazine usage in 1990 for Canada and USA with NAPAP grid system (the size is 20 by 20 km)..... | 37 |
| Figure 5-1 The $1/12^{\circ} \times 1/8^{\circ}$ latitude-longitude grid system..... | 42 |
| Figure 5-2 Study Frame..... | 43 |
| Figure 5-3-1 Lake Erie Basin..... | 45 |
| Figure 5-3-3 Watershed Properties..... | 47 |
| Figure 5-4-1 Map unit of soil dataset..... | 49 |
| Figure 5-4-2 Canada NSDB Detailed Soil Surveys map..... | 51 |
| Figure 5-4-3 Soil Properties in the dataset..... | 52 |
| Figure 5-4-4 Gridded soil texture..... | 53 |
| Figure 5-5 Land use dataset..... | 545 |
| Figure 5-6-1 Atrazine usage dataset..... | 55 |
| Figure 5-6-2 Atrazine first application dates..... | 54 |
| Figure 5-6-3 Atrazine second application dates..... | 549 |
| Figure 5-7-1 DEM dataset..... | 61 |
| Figure 5-7-2 Contour maps..... | 62 |
| Figure 5-8-1 Climate datasets..... | 63 |
| Figure 5-8-2 Climate datasets..... | 54 |

| | |
|--|------|
| Figure 6-1 Pesticide runoff model block diagram..... | 69 |
| Figure 6-2 Architecture of the integrated modeling system..... | 80 |
| Figure 6-3 Slope calculation from a three-by-three window with elevation values .. | 82 |
| Figure 6-5 Definition of Specific Catchment Area | 86 |
| Figure 6-6 Iinterface of the PeRM | 90 |
| Figure 7-1 The study area..... | 913 |
| Figure 7-2 Flow directions of the study basins | 94 |
| Figure 7-3 (a) Pesticide concentrations under different parameter variations | 97 |
| Figure 7-3 (b) Runoff values under different parameter variations | 100 |
| Figure 7-4 Predicted and observed monthly water discharges at the Fort Jennings Outlet during May 1997 to April 1998 (a); correlation between predicted and observed daily mean water discharges, where $r^2 = 0.90$ (b) | 101 |
| Figure 7-5 Predicted and observed daily water discharges at the Fort Jennings Outlet within 11 days (a); Plot of monthly rainfall and predicted daily runoff (b) | 101 |
| Figure 7-6 Predicted daily atrazine concentrations at the Fort Jennings Outlet during May 1, 1997 to April 30, 1998. | 101 |
| Figure 7-7 Predicted and observed daily mean atrazine concentrations at the Fort Jennings Outlet within 11 days (a); correlation between predicted and observed daily mean atrazine concentrations, where $r^2 = 0.89$ (b) | 101 |
| Figure 7-8 Predicted and observed monthly water discharges at the Defiance Outlets (a); correlation between predicted and observed monthly mean water discharge, where $r = 0.93$ (b) | 1010 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 7-9 Annual water discharge during 1990 to 1998..... | 101 |
| Figure 7-10 Predicted vs. observed monthly mean runoffs and monthly rainfall..... | 101 |
| Figure 7-11 Percentage of daily atrazine flux to its annual usage at the Defiance Outlet during May 1997 to April 1998 (left axis); daily precipitation data at Station No. 14825 at the Findlay Airport, Ohio (right axis)..... | 101 |
| Figure 7-12 Predicted water discharge at the Defiance Outlet during May 1997 to April 1998 | 101 |
| Figure 8-1 Index of the Lake Erie Basin | 115 |
| Figure 8-2 Drainage of Grand River Watershed | 117 |
| Figure 8-3 Atrazine loss rate of Grand River Watershed..... | 117 |
| Figure 8-4 Daily atrazine concentration at the Grand River mouth..... | 117 |
| Figure 8-5 Drainage of Thames River Watershed | 117 |
| Figure 8-6 Atrazine loss rate of Thames River Watershed | 117 |
| Figure 8-7 Daily atrazine concentration at the Thames River mouth | 117 |
| Figure 8-8 Drainage of Unit 1-3-1 | 130 |
| Figure 8-9 Atrazine loss rate of Unit 1-3-1 | 133 |
| Figure 8-10 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouths..... | 133 |
| Figure 8-11 Drainage of the Big-Kettle River Watershed | 132 |
| Figure 8-12 Atrazine loss rate of the Big-Kettle River Watershed | 137 |
| Figure 8-13 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouths..... | 137 |
| Figure 8-14 Drainage of the Unit 1-3-3 | 136 |
| Figure 8-15 Atrazine loss rate of the Unit 1-3-3 | 141 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 8-16 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouths..... | 141 |
| Figure 8-17 Drainage of the Bear Creek Watershed | 140 |
| Figure 8-18 Atrazine loss rate of the Bear Creek Watershed..... | 145 |
| Figure 8-19 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouths..... | 145 |
| Figure 8-20 Drainage of the Bear Creek Watershed | 144 |
| Figure 8-21 Atrazine loss rate of the Bear Creek Watershed..... | 149 |
| Figure 8-22 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 149 |
| Figure 8-23 Drainage of the St. Clair River Watershed..... | 149 |
| Figure 8-24 Atrazine loss rate of the St. Clair River Watershed | 154 |
| Figure 8-25 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 154 |
| Figure 8-26 Drainage of the Lake St. Clair coastal area | 154 |
| Figure 8-27 Atrazine loss rate of the Lake St. Clair coastal area..... | 158 |
| Figure 8-28 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouths..... | 158 |
| Figure 8-29 Drainage of the Clinton River Watershed | 158 |
| Figure 8-30 Atrazine loss rate of the Clinton River Watershed..... | 161 |
| Figure 8-31 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 161 |
| Figure 8-32 Drainage of the Huron-Detroit River Watershed | 161 |
| Figure 8-33 Atrazine loss rate of the Huron-Detroit River Watershed..... | 165 |
| Figure 8-34 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 165 |
| Figure 8-35 Drainage of the Stony River Watershed..... | 165 |
| Figure 8-36 Atrazine loss rate of the Stony River Watershed..... | 169 |
| Figure 8-37 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 169 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 8-38 Drainage of the River Raisin Watershed | 169 |
| Figure 8-39 Atrazine loss rate of the River Raisin Watershed..... | 173 |
| Figure 8-40 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 173 |
| Figure 8-41 Drainage of the Ottawa River Watershed..... | 173 |
| Figure 8-42 Atrazine loss rate of the Ottawa River Watershed | 177 |
| Figure 8-43 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 177 |
| Figure 8-44 Drainage of the Maumee River Watershed | 177 |
| Figure 8-45 Atrazine loss rate of the Maumee River Watershed..... | 182 |
| Figure 8-46 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 182 |
| Figure 8-47 Drainage of the Cedar-Portage River Watershed | 182 |
| Figure 8-48 Atrazine loss rate of the Cedar-Portage River Watershed..... | 186 |
| Figure 8-49 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 186 |
| Figure 8-50 Drainage of the Sandusky River Watershed..... | 186 |
| Figure 8-51 Atrazine loss rate of the Sandusky River Watershed | 190 |
| Figure 8-52 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 190 |
| Figure 8-53 Drainage of the Huron-Vermilion River Watershed | 190 |
| Figure 8-54 Atrazine loss rate of the Huron-Vermilion River Watershed..... | 194 |
| Figure 8-55 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 194 |
| Figure 8-56 Drainage of the Black-Rocky River Watershed | 194 |
| Figure 8-57 Atrazine loss rate of the Black-Rocky River Watershed..... | 198 |
| Figure 8-58 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 198 |
| Figure 8-59 Drainage of the Cuyahoga River Watershed | 201 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 8-60 Atrazine loss rate of the Cuyahoga River Watershed..... | 202 |
| Figure 8-61 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 202 |
| Figure 8-62 Drainage of the Chagrin River Watershed | 202 |
| Figure 8-63 Atrazine loss rate of the Chagrin River Watershed..... | 206 |
| Figure 8-64 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 206 |
| Figure 8-65 Drainage of the Grand River Watershed | 206 |
| Figure 8-66 Atrazine loss rate of the Grand River Watershed..... | 210 |
| Figure 8-67 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 210 |
| Figure 8-68 Drainage of the Ashtabula River Watershed..... | 210 |
| Figure 8-69 Atrazine loss rate of the Ashtabula River Watershed..... | 214 |
| Figure 8-70 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 214 |
| Figure 8-71 Drainage of the Chautauqua-Conneaut River Watershed | 214 |
| Figure 8-72 Atrazine loss rate of the Chautauqua-Conneaut River Watershed..... | 218 |
| Figure 8-73 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 218 |
| Figure 8-74 Drainage of the Cattaraugus River Watershed | 218 |
| Figure 8-75 Atrazine loss rate of the Cattaraugus River Watershed..... | 222 |
| Figure 8-76 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 222 |
| Figure 8-77 Drainage of the Buffalo-Eighteenmile River Watershed | 222 |
| Figure 8-78 Atrazine loss rate of the Buffalo-Eighteenmile River Watershed..... | 226 |
| Figure 8-79 Daily atrazine concentration at the river mouth | 226 |
| Figure 8-80 Drainage of the Buffalo-Eighteenmile River Watershed | 226 |
| Figure 8-81 Location of the Lake St. Clair Basin | 229 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 8-82 Drainage of the Lake St. Clair Basin..... | 229 |
| Figure 8-83 Atrazine loss rate from Canadian side..... | 232 |
| Figure 8-84 Daily atrazine loss mass from Canadian side..... | 232 |
| Figure 8-83 Atrazine loss rate from USA side..... | 235 |
| Figure 8-84 Daily atrazine loss mass from USA side..... | 235 |
| Figure 8-85 Atrazine loss contribution from two sides..... | 238 |
| Figure 8-86 Atrazine loss contribution of each unit..... | 238 |
| Figure 8-86 Units with direct atrazine loss contribution surrounded Lake Eire | 239 |
| Figure 8-87 Atrazine loss rate from units surrounded Lake Erie of Canadian side.. | 239 |
| Figure 8-88 Daily atrazine loss mass from units surrounded Lake Erie of Canadian side | 239 |
| Figure 8-90 Daily atrazine loss mass from units surrounded Lake Erie of USA side | 246 |
| Figure 8-91 Atrazine loss contributions from two sides | 248 |
| Figure 8-92 Atrazine loss contribution of each unit..... | 248 |
| Figure 8-94 Daily atrazine loss to the Lake Erie..... | 251 |
| Figure 8-95 Atrazine loss contributions from two sides | 252 |
| Figure 8-96 Atrazine application rate..... | 252 |
| Figure 8-97 Atrazine loss from the Lake Erie Basin..... | 254 |
| Figure 8-98 Total applied atrazine in the Lake Erie Basin | 254 |

TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 4-1. Atrazine usage in Ontario - kilograms of active atrazine used on corn in Ontario (Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, 1994)..... | 32 |
| Table 4-2. Atrazine Usage in Ontario for Different Sector in 1990..... | 34 |
| Table 5-1 Physical/chemical properties of atrazine | 54 |
| Table 7-1 Physical and chemical properties of atrazine..... | 97 |
| Table 8-1 Delineation of Hydrologic Unit | 117 |
| Table 8-2 Atrazine loss in Grand River Watershed | 122 |
| Table 8-3 Atrazine loss in Thames River Watershed..... | 127 |
| Table 8-4 Atrazine loss in Unit 1-3-1-1 | 132 |
| Table 8-5 Atrazine loss in the Big-Kettle River Watershed..... | 136 |
| Table 8-6 Atrazine loss in the Unit 1-3-3 | 138 |
| Table 8-7 Atrazine loss in the Bear Creek Watershed | 142 |
| Table 8-8 Atrazine loss in the Bear Creek Watershed | 146 |
| Table 8-9 Atrazine loss in the St. Clair River Watershed | 151 |
| Table 8-10 Atrazine loss in the Lake St. Clair coastal area | 155 |
| Table 8-11 Atrazine loss in the Clinton River Watershed | 159 |
| Table 8-12 Atrazine loss in the Huron-Detroit River Watershed | 162 |
| Table 8-13 Atrazine loss in the Stony River Watershed | 166 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 8-14 Atrazine loss in the River Raisin Watershed | 170 |
| Table 8-15 Atrazine loss in the Ottawa River Watershed..... | 174 |
| Table 8-16 Atrazine loss in the Maumee River Watershed | 179 |
| Table 8-17 Atrazine loss in the Cedar-Portage River Watershed | 183 |
| Table 8-18 Atrazine loss in the Sandusky River Watershed..... | 187 |
| Table 8-19 Atrazine loss in the Huron-Vermilion River Watershed | 191 |
| Table 8-20 Atrazine loss in the Black-Rocky River Watershed | 195 |
| Table 8-21 Atrazine loss in the Cuyahoga River Watershed | 199 |
| Table 8-22 Atrazine loss in the Chagrin River Watershed..... | 203 |
| Table 8-23 Atrazine loss in the Grand River Watershed | 207 |
| Table 8-24 Atrazine loss in the Ashtabula River Watershed | 211 |
| Table 8-25 Atrazine loss in the Chautauqua-Conneaut River Watershed..... | 215 |
| Table 8-26 Atrazine loss in the Cattaraugus River Watershed | 219 |
| Table 8-27 Atrazine loss in the Buffalo-Eighteenmile River Watershed | 223 |
| Table 8-28 Atrazine loss in the Canadian side of Lake St. Clair Basin | 232 |
| Table 8-29 Atrazine loss in the USA side of Lake St. Clair Basin | 235 |
| Table 8-30 Atrazine loss in the Canadian side surrounded Lake Erie | 241 |
| Table 8-31 Atrazine loss in the USA side surrounded Lake Erie | 244 |
| Table 8-32 Atrazine loss in the USA side of Lake St. Clair Basin | 249 |

ABSTRACT

There have been growing concerns over the potential risks of contamination of drinking water. While pesticides have been widely used to control unwanted plants and destructive insects, a large amount of pesticide residues discharged into our environment, including the Great Lakes, which serve as a primary water supply source for various ecosystem functions including human activities, economic development, and numerous faunal and floral populations. The runoff losses of pesticide during storm events can result in extensive deterioration of surface water quality.

As the result of a joint project among the Air Quality Research Branch of MSC, the University of Regina, and the Environmental Conservation Branch of EC, a Pesticide Runoff Model (PeRM), has been developed to predict the runoff losses of pesticides from agricultural lands in the Lake Eries Basin. This model is an integration of a mathematical model, relational database, and Geographic Information System (GIS). The information of soil type, land use, land slope, watershed boundary, precipitation, pesticide usage, the physical and chemical properties of pesticides and others have been digitized and gridded by using GIS, and put in a database, and ready for use by the mathematical model. By using these data, the mathematical model can calculate the pesticide losses due to runoff by considering the emissions, chemical and biological degradation of pesticides, adsorption and desorption of pesticide to and from soil particles, and the movement of pesticides in the dissolved and adsorbed phases. All results from the mathematical model are put in the database, and runoff pattern along with pesticides loss will be simulated by using a powerful database management system. The final results will be displayed and

visualized using GIS. Furthermore, the model has been coded to form a software package with user-friendly interface. The Microsoft® Visual C® and Visual Basic® were used to do the programming. The objective of this package is trying to let any researchers with limited training could use it for simulating the pesticide transport by surface runoff.

As the base of the simulating the pesticide loss through surface runoff from the agricultural land, a 10 km × 10 km grid system was created to cover the study area. After searching from various sources, an integrated database was also developed and further combined with the grid system. The database is composed of various datasets, such as watershed, soil, climate, hydrology, landuse, water quality sample, and topography datasets. A set of sensitivity tests was also carried out. These were designed by examining the model output which ranges over two orders of magnitude and assessing which variables are largely responsible for this variation. Some parameters or variables have been found with strong impacts on the model, such as half-life, organic matter, curve number and application conditions. Through a case study in the Auglaize-Blanchard Watershed, northern Ohio, the model was calibrated and verified by the observed data.

The determination of atrazine loss conditions in the Lake Erie Basin is the main objective of this study and has been achieved through the model simulation. According to the hydrological properties, the Lake Erie Basin was divided into 36 Hydrologic Units and each unit was defined as an index. The pesticide runoff model (PeRM) was used to estimate the atrazine loss from each unit and to the Lake Erie-Lake St. Clair system. For each river located in the basin, its contribution of atrazine loss to the water system was clarified. The daily atrazine flux and concentration through water discharge were

provided, which presents a clear concept of atrazine loss in the Lake Erie Basin. Generally, USA side has bigger contribution of atrazine loss to the Lake Erie comparing with the Canadian side. From the view of entire Lake Erie Basin, the atrazine pollution is serious in western and southern sub-basins and atrazine concentration in the river mouth usually exceed the related standards in the wettest season. The information came out from this study is believed to have high value for both of the pesticide management and water quality control.

This report is to assemble the results from our work into a document that reflects not only advanced scientific understanding, but also the practicalities of producing usable numbers at the end of the study. It is clear that further work is desired to improve the usability of the model and related pesticide pollution study in the Great Lakes Basin.